

**STATE OF MICHIGAN**  
**COURT OF APPEALS**

---

In the Matter of TAMYA NECOLE SATCHELL,  
Minor.

---

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

TIFFANY SATCHELL,

Respondent-Appellant.

---

UNPUBLISHED  
February 21, 2006

No. 264530  
Saginaw Circuit Court  
Family Division  
LC No. 05-029731-NA

Before: Cooper, P.J., and Jansen and Markey, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent appeals by right from the trial court order terminating her parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(i) and (l). We affirm. This appeal is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The trial court did not clearly err in finding that a preponderance of the evidence supported its assumption of jurisdiction over the child and that statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 3.977(E); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). The initial petition in this matter requested termination. All parties agreed that the evidence presented at the adjudication would be the evidence relied upon for disposition. This evidence was more than sufficient to support both jurisdiction and termination where it was uncontested that respondent's parental rights to another child had been terminated; that she was addicted to crack cocaine; that her addiction spanned a decade and the birth of six children; and that she had not responded to prior rehabilitative services.

Further, the evidence failed to show that termination of respondent's parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000). The child was medically fragile and had been removed from

respondent's care while an infant recovering from heart surgery. Thus, the trial court did not err in terminating respondent's parental rights to the child.

We affirm.

/s/ Jessica R. Cooper

/s/ Kathleen Jansen

/s/ Jane E. Markey